

**REFERENCE ONLY: THIS PROCUREMENT
CLOSED WITHOUT AWARD.**

WOODSON

ENGINEERING & SURVEYING

124 N. Elden Street • Flagstaff, AZ 86001
(928) 774-4636 • www.woodsoneng.com



**Mountain Line
Kaspar Intersection Improvements**

Woodson Project No. 119035

**Addendum No. 1
March 12, 2021**

TO: PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS AND PLAN HOLDERS OF CONTRACT
DOCUMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION PLANS

**Receipt of Addenda MUST be acknowledged in accordance with the
Request for Bids.**

This Addendum is hereby made part of the contract documents and construction
plans as modified in accordance with the following:

ADDENDUM No. 1

**The bid documents are hereby amended to include the following clarifications
and answers to submitted questions:**

Frequently Asked Questions

Subject: RFB 2020-116, Kaspar Intersection Construction Services

Question 1: Page 48 of the RFB, "Experience of Prime Contractor", asks for three (3) relevant projects. Page 49 then has a "Recent Relevant Projects" section with a table of requested information. Would you like us to present our three relevant projects using the information in the table from page 49, or are these separate requirements (i.e. respond to "Experience of Prime Contractor" and "Recent Relevant Projects" separately)?

Answer: Vendors should present their relevant projects using information in the table from page 49.

**Mountain Line Kaspar Drive
Intersection Addendum No. 1**

March 12, 2021

Questions 2: On page 48 under “Experience of Prime Contractor”, it mentions subcontractors whose contract value exceeds \$100K. Are you requesting that we identify subcontractors whose contract value exceeded \$100K on a project, or is that for our discretion?

Answer: Vendors should identify all subcontractors but for more specifically provide relevant experience for: **“Subcontractors identified for the proposed project whose contract value exceeds \$100,000, shall be demonstrate project experience in northern Arizona and be familiar with City of Flagstaff geology, weather and regional construction conditions.”**

Question 3: Refer to the bid submittal checklist #10 which requires that “Statutory Performance Bond form has been executed by Bidder and appropriate Surety and is included”. The surety bid bond is the document that is filled out (#9). The performance bond document is standard to be sent in after contract award. Please confirm that this is not a requirement to be turned in.

Answer: Mountain Line will waive the requirement to have the Performance Bond at Bid submittal, with acknowledgement that it will be required upon execution of a contract.

Question 4: Please confirm that this bid submittal is an electronic submittal.

Answer: This is an Electronic Bid Submittal. Electronic Bid submissions are expected.

Heather Higgins
Purchasing and Contracts Officer, DBELO
Mountain Line
3773 N. Kaspar Dr., Flagstaff, AZ 86004
W: 928.679.8907
www.mountainline.az.gov

Contractor Questions

Question:

1. During the pre-bid conference call, a laydown area was said to be not identified and for this a ROW permit from the COF was mentioned. Can you please provide more information on this?

Answer:

The Contractor is responsible for making provisions for their own construction support yard or laydown areas. These types of areas are not provided on Mountain Line property or on property owned by the City as part of this project. It may be possible for the Contractor to gain approval from the City of Flagstaff to use their property for these types of construction areas. The Contractor will need to apply for a Temporary Use Permit from the City of Flagstaff. The approval of this permit is not guaranteed and may be subject to the intended use of the property by the Contractor. More information on this will be added to the revised Special Provisions.

Question:

2. Bid item number 133, Removal of Concrete Slurry on Reclaimed Waterline is missing from the bid schedule.

Answer:

Plan Item Number 133 does not have a Bid Schedule Item because it is Incidental to another item on the Bid Form. The project Special Provisions Section 616.1 state that this work is Incidental to the Reclaim Water Line Removal item. Note 133 in the Plans will be revised to clarify this item.

Question:

3. On sheet 9, is item 709 same as the Future Signal Conduit. The item's note is missing from the plans.

Answer:

Future Traffic Signal conduit shall be installed as shown on Civtech Plan Traffic Conduit Plan (Sheet 36). The Item 709 is an empty conduit to provide a future path for upgrading the electrical service to the Mountain Line property along the length of the project in Kaspar. Item 709 is shown and correctly noted on the Utility Plan (Sheet 19-20). Please disregard the keynote 709 on sheet 9.

Question:

4. On sheet 21, what is the extent of the gas trenching, item 704?

Answer:

The extent of the gas line trenching is shown on Sheets 19 and 20.

Question:

5. The bid schedule seems to have missed a line item for traffic signal demolition. 3 ea. traffic signals are being demoed and replaced. Is this captured somewhere else?

Answer:

This Item has been added to the Bid Form – Signal Section as Item No. 134, replacing the Item for Mobilization. There will be no specific Bid Item for Mobilization in the Bid Form. This Item is Incidental to the Contract.

Question:

6. Please confirm if this project is a standard one-year warranty for (bonding purposes).

Answer:

Please refer to Section 4.9 of the Contract General Conditions.

Question:

7. Please confirm if coatings are required on any of the sewer manholes for this project. If so, please specify.

Answer: No linings or coatings are required on the new sanitary sewer manholes in this project.

Question:

8. Please provide the peak sewer flows for the existing sewer. This is needed for pricing the temporary bypass.

Answer:

Estimated Peak Flows for the sewer bypass system is indicated in Section 615.5.1.1 Description of the Special Provisions.

Question:

9. Please confirm the flows for the reclaimed line for temporary bypass purposes.

Answer:

Estimated Flow for the reclaim flow bypass is indicated in Section 616.3.1.1 Description of the Special Provisions.

Question:

10. Please confirm if NAIPTA will provide a temporary laydown yard for materials and equipment storage for the contractor on their property at the facility.

Answer:

No, there is no space is available on Mountain Line property. See question 1.

Question:

11. Please confirm if there is a geotechnical report available for this project and provide.

Answer:

Geotechnical Report was included in RFB procurement documents as Attachment S –“Soils”.

Question:

12. Please confirm the existing thickness for bid purposes for the existing asphalt to be removed on the project.

Answer:

The existing pavement thickness is provided in the Geotechnical Report.

Attachments Addendum No. 1

Revised Bid Form	Dated	March 12, 2021
Current Plan Set	Dated	March 12, 2021
Revised Special Provisions	Dated	March 12, 2021

End of Addendum No. 1